1	MICHELE BECKWITH Assistant United States Attorney		
2	CAILY NELSON Special Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814		
3			
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-cr-00095-JAM-1	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE FURTHER STATUS CONFERENCE AND	
13	V.	EXCLUDE TIME	
14	JESUS LOUIS VASQUEZ, DATE: April 8, 2025 TIME: 9:00 a.m.		
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, Special Assistant United		
19	States Attorney Caily Nelson, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, Mark J.		
20	Reichel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
21	1. By stipulation, the government and Jesus Vasquez, through formerly appointed counsel,		
22	Jennifer Mouzis, moved to continue the status conference until January 23, 2025, with an exclusion of		
23	time, under Local Code T4.		
24	2. By previous order, this matter was advanced for status on January 21, 2025.		
25	3. By previous order, Mark J. Reichel was appointed as counsel for Jesus Vasquez in place		
26	of Jennifer Mouzis on December 20, 2024.		
27	4. By stipulation, the government and Jesus Vasquez, through counsel Mark, J Reichel,		
28	moved to continue the status conference from January 21, 2025, until April 8, 2025, with an exclusion of		

2

1

- 4
- 5
- 7

8

- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 2627
- 28 ///

///

- 5. By stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until June 17, 2025, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between April 8, 2025, and June 17, 2025, under Local Code T4.
 - 6. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The discovery associated with this case includes over one hundred pages of reports, photos, and search warrant documents, as well as cellular phone downloads. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
 - b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the discovery with his client, research and investigate possible defenses, discuss any potential resolution with his client, research mitigating evidence, and present it to the prosecution. Mr. Reichel was recently appointed to this matter on December 20, 2024.
 - c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
 - e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
 - f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 8, 2025 to June 17, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:23-cr-00095-JAM Document 85 Filed 04/02/25 Page 3 of 4

1	7. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4	Dated: April 1, 2025	MCIHELE BECKWITH
5	Buted: April 1, 2025	Acting United States Attorney
6		/s/ CAILY NELSON
7		CAILY NELSON Special Assistant United States
8		Attorney
9		
10	Dated: April 1, 2025	/s/ MARK J. REICHEL MARK J. REICHEL
11		Counsel for Defendant Jesus Louis Vasquez
12		Jesus Louis vasquez
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

27

28

ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the parties' stipulation in its entirety as its order. The Court VACATES the April 08, 2025 further status conference and RESETS the matter for June 17, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The Court also specifically finds that based on the facts set forth in the parties' stipulation, the failure to exclude the time between April 8, 2025, and June 17, 2025, would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Time from April 8, 2025, to and including June 17, 2025, is excluded from the computation of time within which the trial of this case must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4. With this stipulation, 0 of 70 days have been used against the computation of time within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: April 01, 2025

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE